Forward Operating Bases Solid Waste Characterization

Stephen Cosper and Gary Gerdes

USACE ERDC/CERL

17 June 2010



a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	16		
			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
14. ABSTRACT						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Presented at the N I held 14-17 June 20	DIA Environment, I	Energy Security &	Sustainability (E2	S2) Symposi	um & Exhibition	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited				
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
Army Engineer Re	ZATION NAME(S) AND AD search and Develop rch Laboratory,Cha	ment Center,Const		8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMB	G ORGANIZATION ER	
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
			5e. TASK NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NU	JMBER	
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Forward Operating Bases Solid Waste Characterization			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER 5b. GRANT NUMBER			
1. REPORT DATE 17 JUN 2010		2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010	
maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	election of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collection, this burden, to Washington Headquuld be aware that notwithstanding an OMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	regarding this burden estimate or ormation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the control o	his collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Project Goals

- Acquire available data on the quantity and composition of solid and hazardous wastes at base camps
- Develop estimates of per capita generation of waste components



It Is Valuable to Know Waste Characteristics

To plan waste disposal at base camps

To develop and implement new sustainable waste technologies





New SW Management Options Needed

Burn pits and box incinerators may have associated health risks



New SW Management Options Needed

Sending wastes to off-site disposal has associated security risks



Goal for New Technologies

Manage waste components to achieve more sustainable base camp operations

On-site reuse/recycle Waste to energy



Waste Components Affect Technology Used

Example: WasteAway Process

Cellulosic

Wood

Paper

Food

Plastic

Sludge





Characterization Data from Base Camps

- Detailed studies from Balkans (USAREUR)
- USARCENT AOR Environmental Component Plan



First Balkan Study

	lb/yr/soldier	kg/yr/soldier	% of total
Plastic Bottles [1]	295	134	5.1%
Polystyrene	9.3	4.2	0.2%
Other Plastics	143	65	2.5%
Aluminum	10	4.7	0.2%
Other Metals	11	4.8	0.2%
Corrugated Paper	349	158	6.0%
Other Paper	179	81	3.1%
Scrap Wood	4,151	1,883	72%
Kitchen Food Waste	328	149	5.7%
Post-consumer Food Waste	51	23	0.9%
WWTP Sludge (dry weight) [2]	70	32	1.2%
Saw Dust	47	21	0.8%
Grass Clippings	39	18	0.7%
Glass	40	18	0.7%
Textiles	25	11	0.4%
Medical Waste	13	6.1	0.2%
Rubber	3.9	1.8	0.1%
Miscellanous	5.3	2.4	0.1%
Total	5,769	2,617	100%

Table Footnotes:

- [1] Reflects 100% drinking water distribution via disposable bottled water
- [2] WWTP sludge weight expressed as 100% solids multiply by 5 for a cake and multiply by 50 for a liquid
- [3] Survey includes all discarded solid waste except hazardous waste, recycled scrap metal, and salvaged construction material and equipment



[4] Above values do not reflect additional loadings due to transfers of authority rotations (estimated to increase annual waste production by approximately one month for bi-annual TOAs)

2nd Balkans Study Compared to 1st

Results of Two Characterization Studies in Balkans				
	2006		2004	
Component	lb/person/yr	Per cent	lb/person/y	Per cent
Plastic bottles	196	3.0	295	5.1
Other plastic	502	7.6	143	2.5
Aluminum	46	0.7	10	0.2
Light metal	202	3.0	11	0.2
Cardboard (and paper)	529	8.0	349	6.1
Other paper	974	14.7	179	3.1
Food and vegetation waste	609	9.2	418	7.3
Textiles	95	1.4	25	0.4
Glass	37	0.6	40	0.7
Rubber	4	0.1	4	0.1
Polystyrene	21	0.3	9	0.2
Scrap wood	1076	16.2	4151	72.1
Sewage sludge	688	10.4	70	1.2
Ashes	811	12.2		0.0
Miscellaneous	838	12.6	52	0.9
Total	6627	100.0	5756	100.0



Trash estimates (lb/soldier-day)

	Balkans: Maturing temporary base camp	Balkans: Enduring base camp	Afghanistan: ARCENT Study	CONUS
Scrap wood	11.4	2.9		0.3
Paper	1.4	4.1		0.7
Food	1.1	1.7		0.6
Other	1.9	9.5		2.9
totals	15.8	18.2	9.4	4.5

Human Waste (excreta)

Estimated waste based on medical literature:
0.33 lb feces / solider-day
0.4 gal urine / soldier-day





Blackwater estimates

Primitive	Flush toilets
gal/day	gal/day
0.5	4 to 27

Tech Transfer

Final report will be completed September 2010



Available (?) Sources

- "AOR Environmental Component Plan", USARCENT, prepared by CH2MHill, March 2009
- "Base Camp Solid Waste Management", Swedish Defence Research Agency, FOI-R--2849--SE, April 2010
- "Solid Waste Generation Rates at Army Base Camps", Corps of Engineers PWTB 200-1-51, prepared by ERDC/CERL, February 2007
- "Force Provider Solid Waste Characterization Study", Natick/TR-04/017, prepared by Hughes Associates, August 2004



Contact information

Stephen Cosper stephen.cosper@us.army.mil COM 217-398-5569 DSN 312-643-5569

